9th December 1952]

"(c) Section 41 of the Madras City Police Act applies to Madras City only and hence the question of an order made under this section being in force in any other area in the State does not arise."

Sri T. NAGI REDDI :—"మద్రాసు నగరంలో City Police Act 41 వ సెక్షనును పొడిగించే విషయమై ప్రశ్నించగా, అప్పటప్పటి అవసరాలనుబట్టి, enforce చేస్తామని మంత్రిగారు జవాబు ఇచ్చారు. అయితే యీ సెక్షనును, ఎంతకాలం ఇలా పొడిగిస్తారు? రోజస్తమానం, యీ సెక్షను అమలులో ఉంటుందా, లేక కొన్ని ఘంటలకు మాత్రమేనా?"

The Hon. Sri C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—"Probably not, Sir."

Sri V. SRI KRISHNA:—"ప్రధాన మంత్రిగారు ఈ అధికారంలో ఉన్నంతకాలం ఈ 41 వ సెక్షను అమలు వుంటుందా"

The Hon. Sri C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—"Let us see, Sir." (Laughter.)

Sri T. VISWANATHAM :—"The Hon. Chief Minister said that it had been in force and for adequate reasons. May I know some of the adequate reasons at least, if not all?"

The Hon. Sri C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—"They have been explained in the order itself from time to time. I cannot be giving the reasons for the old orders."

Sri T. VISWANATHAM :—"May I know, Sir, whether the Hon. the Chief Minister is actually aware of the language and the words used in those orders? They have used only the language in the Criminal Procedure Code and the Police Act, and nothing more specific."

The Hon. Sri C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—"They apprehend certain difficulties which necessitate the Police extending the order."

Sri T. NAGI REDDI :—"అసెంబ్లీ సమావేశాలు జరిగినప్పుడల్లా ప్రభుత్వం వారికి గుండె భయంలేకుండా పోలీసు సిబ్బందిని అసెంబ్లీ హాలుచుట్టును ప్రవేశపెట్టితేనే తప్ప ప్రభుత్వం వారి పరిపాలన సక్రమంగా కొనసాగదని చెప్పి పోలీసు సిబ్బందిని హాలు చుట్టు పెట్టారా? లేకపోతే ఈ నాడు ప్రభుత్వము బిల్లులు ప్రవేశ పెట్టుతున్నాము కాబట్టి ప్రజా ఆందోళన హాలువద్ద వుండకూడదని, పోలీసు సిబ్బందిని తెచ్చిపెట్టటం మచిందని, ప్రభుత్వంవారి అభిప్రాయమా?"

The Hon. Sri C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—"It is not the nature of the laws or the administration that brings about this difficulty. It is the activities of other people."

Alleged dismissal of certain workers from Raja Rajeswari Weaving Mills, Azhikode, North Malabar.

* 360 *Q.*—Sri K. P. GOPALAN : Will the Hon. the Minister **for Industries and Labour be pleased to state—**

(a) whether it is a fact that 69 workers have been dismissed from Raja Rajeswari Weaving Mills, Azhikode, Baliapatam,

[9th December 1952

North Malabar, since 19th November 1952 by the Manager of the factory in violation of the Industrial Disputes Act and Trade Unions Act and workers have begun satyagraha before the factory;

(b) whether the Government have received any telegram or memorandum in this connection; and

(c) if so, the action taken thereon?

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" (a) The Government have no information in the matter.

" (b) Yes, Sir.

" (c) The Commissioner of Labour has been asked to investigate the matter and his report is awaited."

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—" Sir, according to section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, if a management changes the conditions of work and dismisses any worker or reduces the wages when a case is pending before the appellate tribunal, and if any factory owner . . ."

MR. SPEAKER :—" I think the hon. Member is reading the section. Let him put the question. The Hon. Minister knows the provisions in the Act. He should have read it many times from the time he became a Minister."

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—" Sir, what action are the Government going to take against factory owners who have violated the Industrial Disputes Act? Are this Government going to prosecute the factory owners who have openly violated the provisions in the Act? It is not only one factory owner. So many factory owners have violated the provisions in the Act. What are the Government going to do immediately to see that the interests of the workers are not affected and peace is maintained in the Chirakkal taluk as far as the workers are concerned? "

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" May I submit to you, Sir, that this question is a hypothetical question? If the hon. Gentleman will raise a specific question, I shall answer it."

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—" Is it not a fact, Sir, that the Labour Inspectors' handling of these problems is giving a helping hand to the managements and capitalists? "

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" Not that I am aware of."

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—" My question was not a hypothetical question, Sir. Here is a clear case of the factory owners violating the Industrial Disputes Act. According to the Act, if the Government are satisfied that factory owners have violated the Industrial Disputes Act, they are bound to ask the Collector to launch a prosecution against the factory owners. My question is a definite question and not a hypothetical question."

9th December 1952]

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" First of all, facts have got to be verified. He has put the question ' if it is a fact that the factory has violated '. That ' if ' has got to be verified. That is why we are asking the Labour Commissioner to verify the matter."

SRI T. C. NARAYANA NAMBIAR :—" Sir, in view of the fact that the trouble in the Raja Rajeswari Weaving Mills has been brought to the notice of the Hon. Minister, and in view of the fact that serious troubles have happened, and 69 workers are starving (this is a fact even according to the Hon. Minister) The Hon. Dr. U. Krishna Rao : ' No.') and in view of the fact that we have represented to the Hon. Minister in the matter and we take the responsibility of proving the case, will the Government be pleased to take action to see that justice is done? "

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" It is only in view of these facts that I am asking the Labour Commissioner to find out the truth of the matter."

Direct recruitment of Sub-Inspectors of Police.

* 361 *Q.*—SRI Y. AUDINARAYANA REDDI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) how many directly recruited Police Sub-Inspectors were there in Madras State on 1st October 1952;

(*b*) how many Police Sub-Inspectors were there on 1st October 1952 who had been promoted from the ranks in Madras State;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that the Government have ordered the reversion of those Sub-Inspectors who had been promoted from the ranks to the posts of Head Constables for lack of vacancies;

(*d*) how many Sub-Inspectors of Police have been reverted in the months of September and October 1952; and

(*e*) whether it is a fact that among those reverted there are people who had completed their probation and had a service of 4 to 6 years to their credit?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" (*a*) 1,767.

" (*b*) 638.

" (*c*) Officiating Sub-Inspectors promoted from the ranks reverted for want of vacancies consequent on direct recruits, who were till recently under-represented, taking charge of stations on completion of their training.

" (*d*) 65.

" (*e*) Yes, a few, Sir."

SRI L. LAKSHMANA DAS :—" Will the Government consider the reservation of 50 per cent of the Sub-Inspectors' posts for departmental promotions? "